

## **MACON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS**

### **HEARING MINUTES – AUGUST 3, 2016**

#### **MEMBERS PRESENT**

Barb Lamont, Chairman  
Bill Koretke, Vice Chair  
Donald Wilson  
Blake Noland  
Andy Freeland  
Ed Leonard, Alternate Member  
Dennis Hughes, Alternate Member

#### **COUNTY PERSONNEL PRESENT**

Jennifer Hoffman, Planning & Zoning Director  
Tracy Sumpter, Planning & Zoning  
Michael Baggett, Assistant State's Attorney

#### **MEMBERS ABSENT**

Chair Lamont called the meeting to order at 8:30. At this time any person wishing to speak today was sworn in.

#### **MINUTES**

Donald Wilson made the motion to approve the July 6, 2016 minutes, seconded by Blake Noland. All members present answering, Aye. Motion Carried (5-0).

#### **OLD BUSINESS:**

- 4.1** S-01-07-16 a special use petition filed by Mark Highcock to allow the operation of the sale and transfer of firearms business in (R-1) Single Family Residential Zoning.

Ms. Hoffman stated this was passed by ZBA on July 6, 2016, EEHW passed it July 21, 2016 and it goes to full County Board August 11, 2016.

#### **NEW BUSINESS:**

- 5.1** S-01-08-16 a special use petition filed by Matt Craft to allow the operation of a construction business in (RE-5) Single Family Estate Zoning. This property is located at 3415 Nevada Rd, Decatur, IL in Blue Mound Township: PIN 02-11-34-276-006

Ms. Hoffman stated that this petition was filed by Matt Craft. With the confusion at the last meeting about the special use and conditions, it has been suggested by Mr. Baggett to give the stipulations ahead of time, that way everyone knows.

The following is a list of the stipulations for S-01-08-16 Matt Craft.

1. This Special Use Permit constitutes a license issued to the named petitioners only. This special use permit is not property nor does it convey any property right. This special use permit is, therefore, not assignable or transferable.
2. Employees shall be limited to 2 employees other than the owner.
3. Advertising sign regulations on the subject property shall comply with Macon County Zoning Ordinance.
4. Said property and all operations shall be in compliance at all times with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations. Failure to be in compliance may result in the suspension or revocation of this special use permit.
5. The special use permit shall be for a 2 year period beginning September 8, 2016 and ending September 13, 2018.

Matthew Craft  
3415 Nevada Rd  
Decatur, IL 62522

Mr. Craft stated they are currently storing material, parking the work van and a couple of trailers in the existing pole barn and they would just like to continue that process.

Ms. Hoffman stated that Mr. Craft did a subdivision a couple of months ago and supervisor of assessments office only does splits once a year, therefore, it does not show correct lot lines on the map.

Chair Lamont asks for any questions or if anyone would like to speak.

Angela McIntire  
3373 Nevada Rd  
Decatur, IL 62522

Ms. McIntire stated she has resided at this location since 1990, her property borders Mr. Craft's property to the north. One of the main reasons she lives where she does, is because it's her "little slice of heaven." She is not forced to see eyesores such as signage or commercial property, noise from semis or commercial traffic. The business has been operated here for years however; after Matt purchased the property to the South of him he noticeably began running his business from the building which she assumes was the reason behind his purchase. She stated at one point she came home from work to see a large, quite visible yellow banner placed on the East side of the building that read "Craft Construction" the sign faced Nevada Road which meant everyone who travels the road would be forced to see. The sign remained in place for a couple of days until she suspects he came to the realization that the property was zoned residential and not commercial. She finds it interesting that he is just now coming forward to have the property rezoned as he has obviously been using the property as commercial for quite

some time and this time he has shown blatant disregard to his neighbors and maybe it's just no regard for her. For instance, she asked him on several occasions to not burn his discarded construction waste. His burn pile was at the back of his property which happens to be in line with her home. She pointed the area out on the map. The smell of burning yard waste is one thing, such as limbs, trees, leaves. She is accustomed to that, it's normal but nothing compared to the smell of burning treated lumber like 2x4's or plywood, siding, flooring etc. Then dealing with the ash coming down on her car, her fiancé's car and her home. She was not able to open windows for fresh air because of the stench. As she said, she asked him repeatedly to stop burning, what she thinks to be illegal material, she even threatened calling the authorities on one occasion and all she got in return was complaints from a co-worker that happened to be his friend. He has since hidden this burn pile by covering it with dirt, so at least there's no more burning going on. In a separate instance, and she doesn't know if this is related to the business, she found their shared hillside on fire. Mr. Craft did not help to extinguish the embers until he saw her fiancé and herself had hooked up several hoses to her water supply to extinguish the flames. Only then did he assist with buckets of water. He did not even communicate his error. The fire was down to her driveway and she hates to think what would have happened and the cost she would have incurred for her driveway and possibly garage and home had she not been there. Not a sorry came from him. Just recently Nevada Road was tarred and chipped. Once Mr. Craft began the construction on the corner house you could see where the rock had been bunched into a pile on the pavement from the movement of material from the building to the site which she can only assume. Was it from him? No, she can't prove it, but it seems too coincidental. Did he take the opportunity to flatten it for neighbors who travel the road? No. These are just a few examples of which he has shown disregard for those around him. He has already proven this by operating a construction business from the residence zoned location, and burning his construction business waste. There are plenty of vacant buildings in Decatur that could be utilized for his construction business that is zoned commercial, but if you find to grant the re-zoning, then what stipulations would be put in place.

Mr. Koretke asked Ms. McIntire where the brush fire was located.

Ms. McIntire pointed this out on the map.

Mr. Koretke asked if she had ever called the fire department.

Ms. McIntire stated no she did not.

Mr. Noland asked if the construction company is operating semis out of there.

Ms. McIntire stated she had not seen any. She stated she is all for someone making a living and all of that. She even told him she doesn't want to be "that neighbor" but she also would like to see some kind of regard for everyone else that is in that area. She stated that since then, and she will give him credit, he has planted trees surrounding his area, which will eventually grow and will hide that. Does she want to see a big yellow sign every time she comes home? No.

Mr. Koretke stated that is why he asked the question about the sign. Is the sign still up?

Ms. McIntire stated no it is not.

Mr. Koretke stated in the stipulations it states that he must comply with all....

Ms. Hoffman stated that the sign regulations for the County are just like a little political sign, 3x3. That is all they are allowed to have. She stated that the sign that was previously on there was in violation. If he would put that sign back up, he would be in violation of the zoning ordinance.

Ms. McIntire stated that her biggest complaint is that he has been doing this for quite some time and to just now come and say "oh I probably need to do this" and then burning the construction waste. No, he's not doing that anymore.....

Mr. Koretke asked how long ago he was burning.

Ms. McIntire stated it's been probably 6 months to a year.

Mr. Noland stated that his question might be more for Ms. Hoffman. He stated this has been a multi-step process to get to this point. Is this a typical time frame that we have to take.....

Ms. Hoffman stated yes. When she first received the complaint, and that was August 2015, she went out and saw that the sign was out there. She sent the violation letter and started the violation process. She then went out on October 20, 2015 the sign was down. She had been in contact with him in September 2015, so he answered right after he received the letter. He stated he wasn't running a business and at that time she closed the file. Running a business is very hard, unless they put up a sign, that is hardcore evidence that we can prosecute against. On a pole barn run business, it is hard until she can actually get in there, or see the doors open when she drives by to actually get pictures to be able to prosecute in court. Then Mr. Craft submitted a petition in February of 2016 because he did talk about wanting to do the special use permit when he talked about wanting to sell that bottom property. So when he did, he had to re-zone that because he couldn't combine the shed where he was at, it was zoned (R-4) and the other parcel was zoned (RE-5). He couldn't combine those properties so he had to go through a rezoning. Rezoning process takes 3 months to go through because of all the steps. He submitted for rezoning in February, ZBA approved March, EEHW approved in March, and County Board approved in April. Then in May, he had a minor subdivision and went through that process because he had to split that and now we are here for the special use permit. If you look at month by month, we have been on this since the beginning of the year. That's why it has taken so long as far as him just now coming for the special use permit.

Ms. McIntire stated she understands, but it was going on long before that.

Mr. Koretke stated he does have a commercial size dumpster next to the shed.

Ms. McIntire stated yes correct.

Mr. Koretke asked Mr. Craft why he isn't using that.

Mr. Craft stated he hasn't burned construction waste for 2 years at least. He wasn't aware of the rules against it initially, but now they have a dumpster and they use it. He went on to say that the brush fire was him burning his own leaves and it did get out of control. He did say sorry, he did help extinguish it with her boyfriend.

Ms. Hoffman stated that burning issues are not handled in her office; they are handled by Environmental Management. She doesn't know what went on with that.

Mr. Koretke stated that he has the same problem in his neighborhood. You have to call the fire department.

Ms. McIntire stated she would like to maintain a friendly relationship, and then you do that and it just kind of turns everything south. That is why she did try the discussions with him, phone calls, texts, the whole bit. But like she said, it has not happened in a while, he does have a dumpster next to his property.

Mr. Koretke asked so other than those two objections, him parking his vehicles there during the day and.....

Ms. McIntire stated no, like she said she does not have a problem with that. She is just curious what the stipulations would be. She doesn't want to look at a commercial property every time she pulls up to her house because she lives in the country for a reason.

Chair Lamont asked if anyone else would like to speak.

Wayne Hirst  
2702 Nevada Rd  
Decatur, IL 62522

Mr. Hirst stated he respectfully opposes this because of everything Ms. McIntire said, and he did call the fire department on several occasions for the illegal burning. He stated this is a construction business, no doubt about it; it is registered with the State of Illinois at 3415 Nevada Road. They admit that they bring materials in there, they store materials, and they have materials delivered to this location. There is a large box trailer, flatbed trailer, a large dumpster located on the property. Mr. Hirst assumes the materials must be delivered there. They are transported off the property. The big issue is the safety of the public on the roads in this area. As some of his neighbors know, these are very narrow roads. Nevada Road is one lane from his house to the North. His wife and he have both had to get off the road to allow their trucks pulling trailers to pass. This is a school bus

route. In the summer time, it is fine, you get off the road you drive in the grass everybody gets by. In the winter time, or in bad weather that's not as easily done. Someone might have a problem. Also, they have been in blatant violation of this zoning law since they have been out there or been operating this business. He stated he filed the original complaint, the inspector went there and found out they were operating a business illegally. If you allow this, there is no control over what they do in the future. There are already 3 people showing up and reporting for work every day at this building. They suggest they hire employees and they would report off sight. What control measures are in place? The biggest reason he has for this is lack of control and the safety on the roads. Mr. Hirst asked if anyone had questions.

Mr. Noland asked Mr. Hirst to point out where his home is located in relation to the subject property.

Mr. Hirst referred to the map.

Mr. Koretke stated about a ½ mile north.

Chair Lamont asks if there is anyone else wishing to speak. She proceeds to swear in an additional person.

Brian Craft  
2520 W Main St  
Decatur, IL

Mr. Craft stated they do a lot of work in this area so if they operated out of Decatur, they would drive on the same roads. He stated everyone knows a farmer there are semis that drive up and down this road every day. There is way larger equipment than anything they would ever buy that drive up and down this road every day. He stated he came back from the military and got a job at Caterpillar, he was laid off. Matt was laid off from Caterpillar also. They went back to the one thing they knew. This is how they make a living. If anyone feels they are in violation of something, he doesn't know, this is crazy to him. They honestly didn't know that they needed a special use permit. They've never started a business before or anything like that. Once they did, they went through the steps. Yes, they did some illegal burning, they found out it was illegal, they stopped.

Mr. Koretke asked how long they have been in business as Craft Brothers.

Mr. Matt Craft stated they've only owned that shed for about a year.

Mr. Koretke asked how long they were in business.

Mr. Matt Craft stated about 3 ½ years ago.

Mr. Brian Craft stated they got laid off and they had done side jobs. That's just what they knew and they started doing it. As soon as they realized they were doing something wrong they went through the proper steps.

Chair Lamont asked if anyone had questions.

Mr. Hirst stated that yes; farm equipment does travel up and down the road. "We can't relocate the farms." And everyone knows how dangerous it is during that time of year when farm equipment is using the road. As far as them owning a business, he has no problem with that but it's really not fair to the other business owners in the county to allow these guys to set up shop in a pole barn. Anybody with a pole barn and ½ acre can open up a business and another guy is.....Trimble Construction out of Blue Mound has to locate in a commercial location, Cooper Bros Construction they are located in a commercial zoning area. He would say it would be unfair business practice to allow them to operate out of this location.

Chair Lamont called on Ms. Hoffman for Finding of Facts.

Petition:	Special Use Permit for the operation of a construction business in (RE-5) Single Family Estate Zoning.
Parcel Number:	02-11-34-276-006
Location:	This property is commonly known as 3415 Nevada Road in Blue Mound Township.
Acreage:	5.85 Acre
Zoning:	RE-5 Single Family Estate Zoning

### **Finding of Facts**

- This is a new special use permit for the property.
- A special use permit is needed because the Macon County Zoning Ordinance (Section 155.008) defines a special use as a use, either private or public, which, because of its unique characteristics, cannot be properly classified as a permitted use in any particular district or districts. Therefore the Special Use Permit is needed because this property is zoned RE-5 Single Family Estate Zoning.

EFFECTS ON GENERAL WELFARE:	The establishment, maintenance, or operation of this Special Use will not be detrimental to the property or surrounding properties.
-----------------------------	---

EFFECTS ON NEARBY PROPERTY:	The Special Use will not be injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted or
-----------------------------	--

substantially diminish and impair property values with the neighborhood.

**EFFECT ON DEVELOPMENT OF SURROUNDING PROPERTY:**

The establishment of the Special Use Permit will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of surrounding property for uses permitted in the district.

**ADEQUACY OF UTILITIES & FACILITIES:** No known issues.

**INGRESS & EGRESS:** Adequate parking will be established for the property.

**CONFORMITY TO REGULATIONS:** With the passage of the Special Use Permit by the Macon County Board the property will conform.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** While you may vote to recommend approval or denial of this petition, staff has inspected the property and staff recommends **approval** with the following stipulations:

1. This Special Use Permit constitutes a license issued to the named petitioners only. This special use permit is not property nor does it convey any property right. This special use permit is, therefore, not assignable or transferable.
2. Employees shall be limited to 2 employees other than the owner.
3. Advertising sign regulations on the subject property shall comply with Macon County Zoning Ordinance.
4. Said property and all operations shall be in compliance at all times with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations. Failure to be in compliance may result in the suspension or revocation of this special use permit.
5. The special use permit shall be for a 2 year period beginning September 8, 2016 and ending September 13, 2018.

Ms. Hoffman stated that if the office does receive a lot of complaints on this property within the 2 years. When they come up for renewal we can look at maybe denying it and maybe he's not going to abide by the rules and we can look at that issue then.

Mr. Freeland stated the stipulations say they are limited to 2 employees. It states in the petition they are a 3 owner/operator business.

Ms. Hoffman stated 2 employees other than the owner, so that would be 3.

Mr. Freeland stated they are all owners. Just that Matt is the one applying.....



Mr. Baggett stated he can clarify. If they jointly own the business, Matt may be the petitioner and the person that the special use permit is issued to, his brothers would not be petitioners. If he sold his interest to his brothers, they would have to come in and get a special use permit issued in their name. But since they are co-owners of the business, they would not be considered employees of the business. They would have under these conditions the ability to hire up to 2 additional persons who would be considered employees of the business.

Andy Freeland made the motion to approve the petition, seconded by Donald Wilson. All members present voting, Aye. Motion carried (5-0).

Chair Lamont handed Mr. Craft the ZBA Process Letter, which explains the next steps that will be taken on this petition.

Mr. Baggett stated he wanted to clarify that with the special use permit; this is not the end of the road. The petitioners have a letter indicating information regarding subsequent steps but also for the objector's purposes, this will next go to the EEHW Committee and the County Board on August 18<sup>th</sup> at 5:30 in this room, it will be finally decided by the County Board as a whole body on September 8<sup>th</sup> at 6:00 pm. There would be an opportunity for public comment at those meetings. If you wish to attend, both the petitioner as well as any objectors, those will be the next steps in the process.

**5.2** S-02-08-16 a special use petition filed by Robert Jr. & Deborah Weaver for the renewal of a special use permit to allow a mobile home on property where a primary residence already exists in (A-1) Agricultural Zoning. The property is located at 4636 Coulters Mill Rd, Oakley, IL in Oakley Township: PIN 14-08-26-200-001

Ms. Hoffman stated that the only condition she has is that the special use permit be for a 10 year period, after that time, the permit may be renewed if approved. The special use permit would expire on September 10, 2026.

Robert Weaver  
4636 Coulters Mill Rd  
Macon County

Mr. Weaver stated he is here to renew his special use permit. He has a trailer on his property with his house for his parents. His mother passed away in March and it's for his father now.

Mr. Koretke asked how old Mr. Weaver's father is.

Mr. Weaver stated 79.

Mr. Koretke asked how his health is.

Mr. Weaver stated so far, pretty good.

Chair Lamont stated that is good to hear.

Chair Lamont asked Mr. Weaver to point out where he is located.

Mr. Weaver pointed his property out on the map.

Mr. Koretke asked if it was a new trailer when he purchased it.

Mr. Weaver stated yes in 2014.

Mr. Freeland asked if he had any complaints from neighbors.

Mr. Weaver stated he hasn't had any. He stated the only way you really see it is from the aerial. It's buried in the trees.

Mr. Baggett stated he wanted to address something. The petition for special use permit, this is the renewal, it lists Mr. Weaver as the soul petitioner. This is a renewal on behalf of himself and his wife. He asked Mr. Weaver if he wanted to add his wife as a petitioner on this renewal.

Mr. Weaver stated yes.

Mr. Baggett stated he can amend his petition so that the board is aware of this before it votes on it that way Mrs. Weaver is also included as a petitioner. That way we don't run into the same problem as we were discussing a moment ago with the Craft situation. He stated his understanding was that Mrs. Weaver was on the original.

Ms. Hoffman stated yes that is correct.

Ms. Hoffman presented her finding of facts.

Petition: For a renewal of the Special Use permit to allow placement of a mobile home on property where a primary residence already exists in (A-1) Agricultural Zoning.

Parcel Number: 14-08-26-200-001

Location: This property is commonly known as 4636 Coulters Mill Road, Oakley, IL 62501 in Oakley Township.

Acreage: 10 Acres

Zoning: A-1 Agriculture

### **Finding of Facts**

- The Macon County Zoning Ordinance (Section 155.008) defines a special use as a use, either private or public, which, because of its unique characteristics, cannot be properly classified as a permitted use in this particular district or districts.
- The mobile home has received a building permit and has been inspected.
- The original Special Use Permit was issued on July 10, 2014.
- Oakley Township Planning Commission did not have issue with renewing the permit in Oakley Township.

**EFFECTS ON GENERAL WELFARE:** The establishment, maintenance, or operation of this Special Use will not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, welfare, and morals.

**EFFECTS ON NEARBY PROPERTY:** The Special Use will not be injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted or substantially diminish and impair property values with the neighborhood.

**EFFECT ON DEVELOPMENT OF SURROUNDING PROPERTY:**  
The establishment of the Special Use Permit will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of surrounding property for uses permitted in the district.

**ADEQUACY OF UTILITIES & FACILITIES:** No known problems with required utilities and facilities.

**INGRESS & EGRESS:** No known problems with ingress and egress.

**CONFORMITY TO REGULATIONS:** With the passage of the Special Use Permit by the Macon County Board the property will conform.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** You may vote to approve or deny this petition, or amend as appropriate. Staff has inspected the property and staff recommends approval with the following stipulations:

1. The Special Use Permit constitutes a license issued to the named petitioners only. This special use permit is not property nor does it convey any property right. This special use permit is, therefore, not assignable or transferable.
2. After the passing of the current family members residing in the mobile home, the mobile home will be removed off of the property. \*Added by ZBA members\*
3. Special use permit is for a 10 year period. After that time, the permit may be renewed if approved. The Special Use Permit will expire on Sept 10, 2026.

Mr. Weaver agreed to stipulation #2.

Mr. Baggett stated that we could add a condition in there that in the event that the mobile home property becomes unoccupied, the petitioner will have the property removed forthwith.

Ms. Hoffman asked if his petition needed to be amended.

Mr. Baggett stated no, this is just a condition the board is going to add.

Bill Koretke made the motion to approve the petition, seconded by Blake Noland. All members present voting, Aye. Motion carried (5-0).

Chair Lamont handed Mr. Weaver the ZBA Process Letter, which explains the next steps that will be taken on this petition.

**5.3** R-03-08-16 a petition filed by Betty Potrafka for rezoning of duplexes only from (A-1) Agricultural Zoning to (R-6) Multiple family Residential. The property is located at 5649-5735 Church St, Boody, IL in Blue Mound Township: PIN 02-15-11-431-004 (Duplexes)

Marcia Potrafka  
6208 South Boody Rd  
Boody, IL

Betty Potrafka  
6249 South Boody Rd  
Boody, IL

Mrs. Potrafka is representing her mother in law Betty today.

Mrs. Potrafka stated the property in question is 3 duplexes that have been there approximately 38 years. They are currently sitting on Betty's (petitioner) farm ground. Marcia's husband currently owns the car lot and the building south of the car lot and the family also owns the property that the post office sits on. She lives directly across the street. On the north side of the street is Warren Park. In that general area there are approximately 5 different zonings. What they want to do is parcel off the duplexes to become compliant with current zoning ordinance.

Mr. Koretke asked how many duplexes are there.

Mrs. Potrafka stated there are three duplexes; they are approximately 38 years old. At the time they were built, her in laws had applied for a rezoning. It was denied and she had no idea why. There is no paperwork on it anywhere but they were given a special use permit to build. She has no copies of anything, the Planning and Zoning office has no copies either.

Ms. Hoffman stated she has no idea how they even got these built back in the day, so this is to clean up some messes.

Mr. Koretke asked so there was no time limit on the previous....

Ms. Hoffman stated she doesn't have any record of the special use.

Mrs. Potrafka stated they are current with the health department on the septic. They have city water from Macon, utilities are all up to date.

Chair Lamont asked if there were any questions.

Chair Lamont called on Ms. Hoffman for finding of facts.

Petition: For rezoning of duplexes only from (A-1) Agricultural Zoning to (R-6) Multi Family Residential.

Parcel Number: 02-15-11-431-009 & 02-15-11-431-004 (Part of)

Location: This property is located at 6249 Boody Road and 5649-5735 Church Street in Blue Mound Township.

Acreage: Part of the 68.94 Acres

Zoning: A-1 Agricultural

### **Finding of Facts**

- This is a rezoning for the current duplexes from (A-1) Agricultural Zoning to (R-6) Multi-Family Residential Zoning.
- The rezoning is needed for the duplexes to be separated from the current farm ground and divided up in 3 lots containing each duplex. Macon County Zoning Ordinance Section 155.183 states that the minimum lot size for R-6 is 1 acre.
- A LESA (Land Evaluation and Site Assessment) was not performed on the property due to the fact that the ground is already built upon and has been for so many years. Therefore no new development will be constructed on the lots.
- With the rezoning of these duplexes, the lots will conform with Macon County Zoning Ordinance.
- The surrounding properties are zoned: (M-1) Light Industrial to the north, (R-1) & (R-2) Single Family Residential to the east, (A-1) Agriculture to the south and (B-3) Commercial to the west.

EFFECTS ON GENERAL WELFARE: None

EFFECTS ON NEARBY PROPERTY:       None

EFFECT ON DEVELOPMENT OF SURROUNDING PROPERTY:       None

ADEQUACY OF UTILITIES & FACILITIES:       Shall conform to Macon County Ordinance Rules and Regulations.

INGRESS & EGRESS:       Adequate if used properly.

CONFORMITY TO REGULATIONS: With the passage of the rezoning by the Macon County Board the property will conform.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: While you may vote to recommend approval or denial of this petition, staff has inspected the property and staff recommends **approval** of rezoning of duplexes only from (A-1) Agricultural Zoning to (R-6) Multi Family Residential.

Don Wilson made the motion to approve the petition, seconded by Blake Noland. All members present voting, Aye. Motion carried (5-0).

Chair Lamont handed Mrs. Potrafka the ZBA Process Letter, which explains the next steps that will be taken on this petition.

Chair Lamont asked for any other business.

Mr. Koretke asked if there is anything for next month.

Ms. Hoffman stated no, we do not have anything for next month and she doesn't have any petitions out.

ADJOURNMENT: Andy Freeland made the motion to adjourn; Blake Noland seconded. All members present voting, Aye. Motion Carried. (5-0). Meeting adjourned at approximately 9:12 AM.

*Minutes submitted by Tracy Sumpter, Macon County Planning and Zoning Dept.*