PROCEEDINGS OF THE MACON COUNTY BOARD

JULY 09, 2020

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. with Assistant State's Attorney, Mike Baggett, present.

Mr. Baggett: Mr. Baggett reminded the board members both here in person as well as those participating telephonically, that if they wish to speak to make sure they are recognized by the chair first. The person needs to state their name so it can be accurately reflected in the minutes who is actually speaking. This applies also for those in the room, so that whoever is listening on the phone or over the live stream can identify who the speaker is. He asked that they be careful not to cross talk over anyone while they are speaking. Mr. Baggett reminded the board members that were in the board room that the microphones are sensitive but they do need to be spoken into directly. That allows the people in the room to hear, but it more importantly allows the people who are participating telephonically as well as those listening over the webcast, to hear the discussion and votes being made. So, he asked that they make sure they are speaking into the microphone. It may seem a little loud to them, but it will be helpful for overall purposes.

The Roll Call showed the following members present at the meeting: Mr. Greenfield, Mr. Gresham, Mr. Holman, Mr. Jackson, Mrs. Kraft, Mrs. Little, Mr. Smith. The following members were present via tele-presence: Ms. Buckner, Mrs. Dawson, Mr. Drobisch, Mr. Hogan, Mr. Noland, Mr. Oliver, Ms. Rood, Dr. Zimmerman.

Mr. Smith led the members in Prayer.

A. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM THE JUNE 11, 2020 MEETING

MOTION

Mr. Smith moved, seconded by Mrs. Little to approve the minutes of the June 11, 2020 meeting.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

 $\mathbf{AYES} = 15$

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

ZONING/SUBDIVISIONS

COMMENT:

Mr. Greenfield: Mr. Greenfield said he was going to change the agenda around a little bit. He was going to switch up the zoning and have the petition for the Village of Forsyth go first. He said before that he would ask for public comments.

Mr. Roy Groves: Mr. Groves lives 9482 E. 1200 North Rd., Bloomington, IL 61705. He said he owns the property directly across the road to the west of this proposed well site, North east 160 acres of Section 18. He has several issues that need to be addressed. He wanted to ask first if the minutes of the EEHW Committee meeting from the 25th had been submitted to this board. He asked if everyone had had the chance to look at those minutes, or if it was something they did not cover.

Mr. Greenfield: Mr. Greenfield said everyone would have received a copy that.

Mr. Groves: Mr. Groves said he had the downloaded copy from the web page. He said going directly to this well issue. On the second page near the bottom there was a question asked by Mrs. Little. She asked that in the event that the fears of the neighbors come true and their wells are negatively impacted, are they just SOL? Mr. Foster said the nearest well to this new site, #7, is the Village of Forsyth's well which is ½ mile south and the property owner's well at the site they bought, who happens to be a village employee. The first wells that would be impacted by this have direct connections with the Village of Forsyth. So, everyone else's well is over a mile away. There was a packet that he sent and he didn't know if it was in their paperwork, but it shows the county plat map of the houses that are in the vicinity within 2 ½ miles of this proposed #7 well. Mr. Foster said, on page #2 that everybody else's well is well over a mile away. The plat map says differently. Mr. Groves said the plat map shows that there are houses within a 1/2 a mile or just slightly over ½ a mile away from this #7 well. They didn't just appear on the county plat map. They're already there and yet it was said that everybody else's well is over a mile away. That was an issue that maybe needs to be addressed. Then at the bottom of page 3 of the same minutes, Mr. Greenfield asked how much water is perceived to be above the wellhead on #6 which is ½ mile south. Mr. Foster said they have not gotten into that information. Mr. Greenfield asked about the other one, which is #6. Mr. Foster didn't have exact numbers on the other one, but there is a substantial amount of water that is built up on the top of those. Going further at the bottom of page 3, Mr. Greenfield said his only concern is that if a neighbor's well goes dry, which is a possibility, that Forsyth would drill a new well. Mr. Greenfield said he thought that would only be fair. Mr. Foster said that gets into legality issues and the first wells that are impacted by this new well are theirs which is the #6 well ½ mile south of the site at the ½ mile line on Section 17. It goes on to say since they put in well #6 adjacent to another property owner, the village employee's property, they did drill him a new well to get down to that excess depth. The pump in that well is about 220 feet down. The bottom of the well is about 280 feet or 84, he would have to check the specs on that. Mr. Groves said he submitted the well logs, the C1 and C2 permit information in some of the

information he has submitted before. This is not new he has submitted that before at the Zoning Board. The nearest wells that Mr. Foster said he could see on the state's GIS website were miles, and he was using the word plural, miles away. Yet if they look at the county plat map there are houses within a mile of that well site, not mile's plural. Then if they go to the actual Illinois State Geological Survey that Mr. Foster was referring to, with the map he said, the houses were miles away and they could see on there that some of them are miles away but there is also a couple that are less than a mile away. Mr. Groves said that it is a known fact that this Illinois State geological survey map is not accurate. It does not contain all of the local wells. He has been told that by the Illinois State Geological Survey office in Champaign. That it is not there. He said in fact that he was told that today from Champaign. This is not an all-inclusive well location. If they look at the reference between the county plat map and this Illinois State Geological map that Mr. Foster was referring to, that there are no houses within miles. The fact that he could count 7 wells that are not even on the geological survey map that there are actual houses at that specific location on each of those wells. There are some contradictions there. When the Village of Forsyth engineer is using specifications off of the Illinois State Geological map and making statements when the county plat map doesn't back it up. So, there are issues there to be resolved.

Mr. Greenfield: Mr. Greenfield said that Mr. Foster was at the meeting and asked him if he would like a chance to speak to the board.

Matt Foster: Mr. Foster said he is with Chastain & Associates. The office address is 5 N. Country Club Rd., Decatur, IL. He said that he is the Village Engineer for the Village of Forsyth. He wanted to respond by saying that he has not had an opportunity to review the minutes from the EEHW meeting. But, from what he heard that is not what he stated. He stated very directly that the closest wells were the village's well and the property owner adjacent to it. He stated that he did not say there were no wells within miles of that location. They know that there are wells within. Certainly there is one that is a shade over. He does remember specifically pointing that site out. It is a house that is a little bit further west on School House Road and they believe that there is a well there. He did not testify to there not being any wells within miles of this location. He did not get an opportunity to review the minutes, so he did not know that that had gotten in there. Mr. Foster said they have looked at the wells within the area. The first wells that will be impacted by any additional well at this location are the ones that are the closest, which is the village well and the property owner that the village well is adjacent to.

Mr. Greenfield: Mr. Greenfield said he did speak with the Forsyth Village Administrator and told him he felt the county's biggest concern obviously was that if they drill a well and the adjoining landowners or someone close has a well that goes bad. Forsyth assured him that they don't want that to happen. They want to be good neighbors and they will stand behind that. But, until they really get more into it there isn't anything they want to draw up legally. Mr. Greenfield feels confident that Forsyth will stand behind their word.

Mr. Foster: Mr. Foster said at this point in time this issue has not been broached with the village board. He said their direction has been to proceed with the property acquisition then move into the design. Those steps would be addressed at that point in time.

Mr. Greenfield: Mr. Greenfield said he was assuming those questions would be addressed more to the EPA than to the county.

Mr. Foster: Mr. Foster said the IEPA, the ISGS, the regulator agencies for owning and operating public water supply wells, yes.

Mr. Greenfield: Mr. Greenfield said their only object tonight was to pass the zoning or special use permit to allow them to proceed with the well. He said obviously they do go hand in hand.

Mr. Groves: Mr. Groves asked Mr. Foster if he has had a chance to listen to the audio of the June 25, 2020 EEHW meeting. Mr. Groves said he listened to that audio as it was recorded and played back after the meeting was over with. He hated to contradict what Mr. Foster said; but he asked each and every board member to listen to that audio and he thinks they will find that the word miles away was actually uttered on that recording. Mr. Groves said he didn't want to create problems, but the audio for that meeting speaks for itself.

1. Mrs. Kraft presented Resolution Z-1223-07-20 which is regarding Case S-02-04-20, a petition submitted by the Village of Forsyth requesting a Special Use Permit for a municipal well and associated apparatus in (A-1) Agricultural Zoning on property commonly known as ½ mile north of the intersection of North Brush College at E. School Road in Maroa Township. After hearing the evidence, the Zoning Board of Appeals voted to recommend approval of the subject petition to the County Board with 3 stipulations. The EEHW Committee met and reviewed the petition and recommended the County Board approve the petition subject to the 3 stipulations recommended by the Zoning Board of Appeals.

MOTION

Mrs. Kraft moved, seconded by Mrs. Little to approve Resolution Z-1223-07-20.

COMMENTS:

Mr. Greenfield: Mr. Greenfield asked Jennifer Gunter if she had any comments she wanted to make. He knew they had the option of sending it back to Zoning Board of Appeals or vote on it now. Those are some of their options. He didn't know if people had questions and didn't want to ask them or they weren't getting through to them or what.

Mr. Baggett: Mr. Baggett said from a procedural point of view the vote had begun and they couldn't interrupt the vote to address anything.

ROLL CALL.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Tanner had begun the roll call and did not get a response from the first two board members he called upon. He then asked, after repeating their names, if anyone was still on the phone call. He said they were still connected to the phone call but none of the board members were responding. Mr. Tanner disconnected and reconnected the phone cord and was then able to hear the board members that were participating telephonically. He said he would start again from the top on the roll call.

Ayes: Buckner, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

Present: Dawson

AYES = 14 NAYS = 0 PRESENT = 1

Mr. Baggett: Mr. Baggett asked the board members that were participating telephonically if there was anyone that was not able to hear the discussion or the public comments by Mr. Groves or Mr. Foster, prior to the roll call vote being called. He asked if there was anyone that didn't hear they should indicate that. There were no board members that indicated they could not hear. Mr. Baggett said he just wanted to clarify for the minutes that whatever issue they were having with the phone did not interfere with the board member's ability to hear the evidence and testimony.

MOTION CARRIED.

2. Mrs. Kraft presented Resolution Z-1222-07-20 which is regarding Case R-01-06-20, a petition submitted by Nutrien Ag Solutions for rezoning approximately 11.91 acres from (A-1) Agricultural Zoning to (M-1) Light Industrial Zoning on property commonly known as 9650 Hemean Road in Warrensburg, IL 62573 in Illini Township. After hearing the evidence, the Zoning Board of Appeals voted to recommend approval of the subject petition to the County Board. The EEHW Committee met and reviewed the petition and recommended approval to the County Board.

MOTION

Mrs. Kraft moved, seconded by Mrs. Little to approve Resolution Z-1222-07-20.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson,

Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

B. CORRESPONDENCE

A letter from Comcast regarding programming changes.

REPORTS

Sheriff's Report – June 2020 Treasurer's Fund Report – June 2020 Collector's Report – May 2020 Coroner's Report – May 2020 Public Defender's Report – June 2020 Auditor's Report – June 2020

MOTION

Mr. Smith moved, seconded by Mr. Gresham to approve the Correspondence and Reports and that they be placed on file.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

3. CLAIMS

MOTION

Mr. Jackson moved, seconded by Mrs. Kraft to approve the claims.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

 $\mathbf{AYES} = 15$

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

The Justice Committee had nothing to submit at the meeting.

The EEHW Committee had nothing to submit at the meeting.

OPERATIONS AND PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

4. Mrs. Little presented Resolution G-5158-07-20 which is approving election judges.

MOTION

Mrs. Little moved, seconded by Mrs. Kraft to approve Resolution G-5158-07-20.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

5. Mrs. Little presented Resolution G-5159-07-20 which is approving a budget amendment for Workforce Investment Solutions FY 2020 budget for Layoff Aversion Project.

MOTION

Mrs. Little moved, seconded by Mr. Smith to approve Resolution G-5159-07-20.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

The Legislative Committee had nothing to submit at the meeting.

FINANCE COMMITTEE

6. Mr. Jackson presented Resolution G-5160-07-20 which is amending the Public Defender's FY 2020 budget for the payment of an expert witness including an examination and report.

MOTION

Mr. Jackson moved, seconded by Mrs. Kraft to approve Resolution G-5160-07-20.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

7. Mr. Jackson presented Resolution G-5161-07-20 which is amending the Public Defender's FY 2020 budget for the payment of and expert for forensic computer examination and report.

MOTION

Mr. Jackson moved, seconded by Mrs. Little to approve Resolution G-5161-07-20.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

8. Mr. Jackson presented Resolution G-5162-07-20 which is authorizing the execution of an Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement between the County and the Town of Normal, McLean County, Illinois ("Normal"), and authorizing Normal to exercise the powers of the County of Macon in connection with an MCC Program and a Loan Finance Program.

MOTION

Mr. Jackson moved, seconded by Mrs. Kraft to approve Resolution G-5162-07-20.

QUESTION:

Mr. Gresham: Mr. Gresham asked if they were going to get any kind of explanation on this.

Mr. Baggett: Mr. Baggett said he had prepared a brief explanation and sent it to the board last week regarding this. He apologized if Mr. Gresham did not see that. Mr. Gresham said he did not receive it. Mr. Baggett said he would explain what the program is. Mr. Gresham said if he was the only person that did not know what was going on, then they should proceed. Mr. Greenfield said he would rather that Mr. Gresham know. Mr. Baggett said the program is a continuation of a program that Macon County has been involved in since 2002. The board originally passed it in 2002 and again in 2003. The reason it is being put before the board again is the focal site of the loan origination program has moved from the city of Aurora Illinois to the town of Normal. That is

because most of the business that is going through this process started to occur in Central Illinois in the last 20 years, so they wanted to move the focal point south basically. The program involves the fact that every municipality or county has the ability to issue general revenue bonds under its bonding authority. However, every municipality or county has a cap on how much they can issue in bonds. The town of Normal will be issuing bonds but borrowing against Macon County's cap. That will not affect Macon County's ability to issue general revenue in any way, just as it hasn't for the last 18 years. Mr. Baggett said he would not try to explain all of the finances because that is not his skill set. Basically borrowing against the revenue bonds allows for these banks to issue mortgage assistance loans that allow first time home-buyers to have assistance with their down payment as well as the closing costs. It basically assists people in obtaining home ownership. It does so by using the leveraging power of a municipality's bonding authority to create the revenue in order to make this possible. Again, Macon County isn't issuing any money. It's not incurring any debt. It's not incurring any obligation to anyone or anything. Our participation in it allows the town of Normal to use Macon County's revenue bond cap in order to issue its own bonds to facilitate the program. Our participation allows Macon County residents access to the program. Over the last 18 years Macon County has had more than \$12,000,000 in home loans or mortgages that have come through this program and allowed Macon County residents to obtain home ownership, that may not otherwise have been able to.

Mr. Greenfield: Mr. Greenfield asked Mr. Smith if he had a question.

Mr. Smith: Mr. Smith said Mr. Baggett just answered it. He wanted to know if anyone had utilized the program from Macon County.

Mr. Baggett: Mr. Baggett said he didn't know the number of homeowners, but the originations from Macon County total over \$12,000,000.

Mr. Oliver: Mr. Oliver asked if this program would have any reference to the situation we have had with Millikin over the years as far as the amount being (could not hear what was said). He asked if that happened in any other program besides the housing thing included in this resolution. Mr. Oliver asked if they realized what he was talking about when he talked about Millikin asking for bond levering abilities.

Mr. Baggett: Mr. Baggett said if he understands the question, he thought Mr. Oliver was wondering if this program would have any impact on what has occurred multiple times over the last several years where Millikin has used the county's bond issuing authority to issue general revenue bonds for the purposes of obtaining loans to facilitate the improvement or building of new facilities on their campus. Mr. Baggett said the answer to that question is no. Macon County's participation in this program will not affect its ability to issue new general revenue bonds in any way.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

9. Mr. Jackson presented Resolution G-5163-07-20 which is approving an Intergovernmental Agreement between the County of Macon and Austin Township.

MOTION

Mr. Jackson moved, seconded by Mrs. Kraft to approve Resolution G-5163-07-20.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

10. Mr. Jackson presented Resolution G-5164-07-20 which is approving an Intergovernmental Agreement between the County of Macon and Blue Mound Township.

MOTION

Mr. Jackson moved, seconded by Mrs. Little to approve Resolution G-5164-07-20.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

The Negotiations Committee had nothing to submit at the meeting.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

11. Mr. Greenfield presented Resolution H-2220-07-20 which is approving and appropriating funds for the 2020 Drainage Improvement Project.

MOTION

Mr. Greenfield moved, seconded by Mr. Jackson to approve Resolution H-2220-07-20.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

12. Mr. Greenfield presented Resolution H-2221-07-20 which is approving and appropriating funds for the Turpin Road CIR Project.

MOTION

Mr. Greenfield moved, seconded by Mr. Jackson to approve Resolution H-2221-07-20

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

13. Mr. Greenfield presented Resolution H-2222-07-20 which is approving and appropriating Funds for 2020 Cape Seal Project.

MOTION

Mr. Greenfield moved, seconded by Mr. Jackson to approve Resolution H-2222-07-20.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

14. Mr. Greenfield presented Resolution H-2223-07-20 which is approving and appropriating funds for a bridge replacement project on Bearsdale Road in Maroa Township.

MOTION

Mr. Greenfield moved, seconded by Mrs. Kraft to approve Resolution H-2223-07-20.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

15. Mr. Greenfield presented Resolution H-2224-07-20 which is approving and appropriating funds for the CH 24 Reas Bridge Resurfacing Project.

MOTION

Mr. Greenfield moved, seconded by Mr. Smith to approve Resolution H-2224-07-20.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

Mrs. Little: Mrs. Little said the written amount on the resolution is One Hundred Fifty-Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents, and in parenthesis it says \$650,000. The \$650,000 is correct, but she just wanted that to be corrected.

Mr. Baggett: Mr. Baggett said they would have that corrected on the resolution prior to the chairman and clerk signing.

MOTION CARRIED.

16. Mr. Greenfield presented Resolution H-2225-07-20 which is approving and appropriating funds for construction of the CH 27 Damery Road Bridge Replacement Project.

MOTION

Mr. Greenfield moved, seconded by Mrs. Little to approve Resolution H-2225-07-20.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

 $AYES = 15 \\
NAYS = 0$

MOTION CARRIED.

The Executive Committee had nothing to submit at the meeting.

The Siting, Rules & Ordinance Sub-Committee had nothing to submit at the meeting.

The Building Sub-Committee had nothing to submit at the meeting.

CITIZENS' REMARKS:

Roy Groves: Mr. Groves asked if it was permissible to ask for a review of the minutes from the EEHW meeting compared with the actual recording with the printed minutes. He said if there is an error on the printed minutes that he was reading from he would be more than happy to agree with a correction on it if that needed to be done, since there was a question about what was said. He asked if that is permissible.

Mr. Baggett: Mr. Baggett said the staff for the county board can review the audio recording and compare them to the minutes for the EEHW before the EEHW committee meets next month and is asked to approve the minutes of its prior meeting.

Mr. Baggett: Mr. Baggett also inquired from the clerk if there were any e-mailed public comments received by the County Board. There was one comment received, which will be distributed to the county board members. Mr. Baggett said it did not need to be read into the record. The County Board received a comment from Evelyn Fishel, 170 Jack Lane, Forsyth, IL 62535 stating her opposition to the request by the Village of Forsyth for a Special Use Permit to install a municipal well and associated apparatus. (See attached)

OFFICEHOLDERS' REMARK

Mr. Smith: Mr. Smith said he always appreciated the chairman's parliamentary prowess to keep meetings moving and he does an excellent job with that. He said given the new statutory requirement where they have to do roll calls on everything, maybe that is something they could look at if the chairman was so inclined, to get the Legislative Committee to try and get that law changed where it could be an option of the board when there is telephonic participation.

Mr. Greenfield: Mr. Greenfield said he would very much appreciate that and he was sure Mr. Tanner would as well.

There was no old business presented at the meeting.

NEW BUSINESS:

17. Mr. Greenfield presented Resolution G-5165-07-20 which regarding Tyler Technologies, Inc.

MOTION

Mr. Greenfield moved, seconded by Mrs. Little to approve Resolution G-5165-07-20.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Buckner, Dawson, Drobisch, Greenfield, Gresham, Hogan, Holman, Jackson, Kraft, Little, Noland, Oliver, Rood, Smith, Zimmerman

Nays: (None)

AYES = 15

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Greenfield adjourned the meeting until August 13, 2020 at 6:00 p.m.

Meeting adjourned at 6:53 p.m.