PROCEEDINGS OF THE MACON COUNTY BOARD

JANUARY 13, 2011

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 7:15 p.m. with the Sheriff and State's Attorney present.

The Roll Call showed all members present at the meeting.

Mrs. Taylor led the members in Prayer.

All led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

A. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE DECEMBER 06, 2010 & DECEMBER 09, 2010 MEETINGS

MOTION

Mr. Smith moved, seconded by Mr. Meachum to approve the minutes of the December 6, 2010 and December 09, 2010 meetings.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Ashby, Baxter, Cox, Drobisch, Dudley, Dunn, Greenfield, Hogan, Jacobs, Little, McGlaughlin, Meachum, Oliver, Potts, Smith, Taylor, Westerman, Wicklund, Wilkins, Williams

Navs: (None)

AYES = 20

NAYS = 0

MOTION CARRIED.

There were no zoning or subdivisions presented at the meeting.

B. CORRESPONDENCE

A letter from Comcast regarding changes in their channel line-up.

An e-mail from AT&T regarding possible programming changes for AT&T U-verse TV customers.

A letter from the Illinois Department of Commerce & Economic Opportunity regarding approval of Macon County's application to expand the boundaries of the Decatur/Macon County Enterprise Zone.

A letter from Ameren regarding upcoming vegetation management activity in the community in the near future.

A letter from Ameren regarding tree trimming they will be doing in the Decatur area in the near future.

A letter from the Illinois Department of Transportation regarding completion and acceptance of Contract 95543, Illinois Project BROS-0115(064).

A Public Notice from the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency regarding an Underground Injection Control (UIC) permit modification for ADM in Decatur.

REPORTS

Sheriff's Report – December 2010

Treasurer's Fund & Investment Report – December 2010

Tax Collector Bank Report – December 2010

Macon County Treasurer Annual Collector's Report – Tax Year 2009 Payable 2010 & 2010 Mobile Home Privilege

Macon County Recorder Annual Report – December 2009 through November 2010

MOTION

Mr. Smith moved, seconded by Mr. to approve the Correspondence and Reports and that they be placed on file by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED.

1. CLAIMS

MOTION

Mrs. Cox moved, seconded by Mr. Oliver to approve the claims that have already been paid by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED.

C. APPOINTMENTS

2. Resolution G-3539-1-11 – Appointing Macon County Board Member to fill vacancy In District #7

Susanna Zimmerman, 1411 S. Lynnwood Dr., Decatur, IL 62521 Term Expires: November 30, 2012

MOTION

Mr. Dunn moved, seconded by Mr. Dudley to approve Resolution G-3539-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED.

Stephen M. Bean, Macon County Clerk administered the Oath of Office to Susanna Zimmerman as the newly appointed Macon County Board Member for District #7.

3. Resolution G-3540-1-11 – Appointment to the Decatur-Macon County Opportunities Corporation Board of Directors

Debra Garrett, 920 Oakview Dr., Mt. Zion, IL 62549 Term Expires: November 30, 2014

MOTION

Mr. Dunn moved, seconded by Mrs. Cox to approve Resolution G-3540-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED. (ZIMMERMAN SHOWED HER VOTE AS YES)

There was nothing presented on the Consent Calendar.

JUSTICE COMMITTEE

4. Mr. Baxter presented Resolution G-3541-1-11 which is approving increase in appropriations in the FY10 Probation Grant Fund for the Redeploy Grant.

MOTION

Mr. Baxter moved, seconded by Mr. Meachum to approve Resolution G-3541-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED.

5. Mr. Baxter presented Resolution G-3542-1-11 which is approving the designation of the Office of State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor to act on behalf of Macon County.

MOTION

Mr. Baxter moved, seconded by Mrs. Wilkins to approve Resolution G-3542-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED.

EEHW COMMITTEE

COMMENT:

Mr. Dunn: Mr. Dunn said he wanted to let them know that he pulled Resolution G-3543-1-11 from the agenda. He is going to send that resolution back to the EEHW Committee. It is a Memorandum of Understanding we've had working with the City of Decatur, Village of Mt. Zion, Village of Forsyth to have the Macon County Soil and Water Conservation District do erosion control permitting and inspections for us. At the present time they don't have enough employees or anybody to do that so we are taking a look at how we can meet those State requirements. So, he is going to pull that and send it back to EEHW and hopefully bring it back next month, either that or something different. We are going to try to make sure we can maintain the inspections and get the permitting done.

6. Mr. Dudley presented Ordinance O-88-1-11 which is amending the Macon County Stormwater Ordinance.

MOTION

Mr. Dudley moved, seconded by Mrs. Little to approve Ordinance O-88-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED.

7. Mr. Dudley presented Resolution G-3544-1-11 which is approving a contractual agreement with Stantec, Inc. to develop Macon County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan.

MOTION

Mr. Dudley moved seconded by Mr. Williams to approve Resolution G-3544-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED.

OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL & LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

8. Mr. Meachum presented Resolution G-3545-1-11 which is accepting a one year proposal from Hartford Insurance for property and inland marine insurance coverage.

MOTION

Mr. Meachum moved, seconded by Mr. Smith to approve Resolution G-3545-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED.

9. Mr. Meachum presented Resolution G-3546-1-11 which is approving an increase in the mileage reimbursement rate.

MOTION

Mr. Meachum moved, seconded by Mrs. Cox to approve Resolution G-3546-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

QUESTION:

Mrs. Little: Mrs. Little asked if it is mandated that we raise it to this level or does this just give us the opportunity to raise it to this level.

Mr. Meachum: Mr. Meachum said it is our choice as per the auditor.

Mrs. Little: Mrs. Little asked how much we spend a year on mileage.

Ms. Stockwell: Ms. Stockwell said she didn't have the exact number; she could get it for her. It is not a big number. There are only a few offices that request mileage. Typically we would pay mileage when the Recorder goes to Springfield or when someone attends a conference in Bloomington. Probably the most regular offices are the Coroner and Supervisor of Assessments for their normal activities, but mileage is not a big number. She would get the exact figure.

Mrs. Little: Mrs. Little asked if they use private vehicles when they do Supervisor of Assessment's Office work.

Mrs. Stockwell: Mrs. Stockwell said yes sometimes; it must be a mix.

MOTON CARRIED.

FINANCE COMMITTEE

10. Mr. Ashby presented Resolution G-3547-1-11 which is approving application of the Decatur Public Building rent surplus and for reduction in the levy for the DPBC Fund.

MOTION

Mr. Ashby moved, seconded by Mr. Wicklund to approve Resolution G-3547-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

COMMENT:

Mr. Ashby: Mr. Ashby said this is slightly less than it has been in the past and the reason is a \$1,800,000 three phase H-Vac remodeling in the building.

MOTION CARRIED.

11. Mr. Ashby presented Resolution G-3548-1-11 which is approving addendum to lease between Macon County and the Decatur Public Building Commission to provide for lease abatement for leased space in the Law Enforcement Center.

MOTION

Mr. Ashby moved, seconded by Mr. Wicklund to approve Resolution G-3548-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED.

12. Mr. Ashby presented Resolution G-3549-1-11 which is to execute deeds to convey property on which taxes were delinquent.

MOTION

Mr. Ashby moved, seconded by Mr. Wicklund to approve Resolution G-3549-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED.

13. Mr. Ashby presented the amended version of Resolution G-3550-1-11 which is approving a budget amendment for Workforce Investment Solutions FY10 budget for grant timing adjustment purposes.

COMMENT:

Mr. Ashby: Mr. Ashby said he wanted to point out that in the board packet you have the amended version of this and the one that was passed by the Finance Committee. It was kind of fine tuned for timing reasons and that is why we have amended it and you are passing the amended version tonight.

MOTION

Mr. Ashby moved, seconded by Mr. Wicklund to approve the amended Resolution G-3550-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED.

14. Mr. Ashby presented Resolution G-3551-1-11 which is approving increase in appropriations in the Health Fund for Completion of FY 10 Fiscal Year.

MOTION

Mr. Ashby moved, seconded by Mr. Wicklund to approve Resolution G-3551-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

COMMENT: Mr. Ashby said again this is a timing issue and we are cleaning up the budget in the Health Department.

MOTION CARRIED.

The Negotiations Committee had nothing to present at the meeting.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

15. Mr. Smith presented Resolution H-1751-1-11 which is approving and intergovernmental agreement with the Decatur Urban Area Transportation Study and the County of Macon, Illinois.

MOTION

Mr. Smith moved, seconded by Mr. Wicklund to approve Resolution H-1751-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED.

16. Mr. Smith presented Resolution H-1752-1-11 which is approving an intergovernmental agreement for the sharing of certain costs related to improvement of that portion of various county highways in Progress City, Macon County, Illinois between Richland Community College, Brush College, LLC and the County of Macon, Illinois.

MOTION

Mr. Smith moved, seconded by Mr. Williams to approve Resolution H-1752-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

Mr. Ashby: Mr. Ashby said he noticed that Amy Bliefnick's signature has not been attested. He asked if that is necessary for this resolution or had it been overlooked.

Mr. Dunn: Mr. Dunn said in the past we have done without them but we like to have them beforehand so the clerk doesn't have to chase down a signature.

Mr. Ashby: Mr. Ashby asked if we will chase down a signature.

Mr. Dunn: Mr. Dunn said we will.

MOTION CARRIED.

17. Mr. Smith presented Resolution H-1753-1-11 which is appropriating funds from Motor Fuel Tax Funds (FY 11) to cover the annual MFT Maintenance Program.

MOTION

Mr. Smith moved, seconded by Mrs. Cox to approve Resolution H-1753-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED.

18. Mr. Smith presented Resolution H-1754-1-11 which is appropriating funds for a 50/50 cost share drainage improvement project with Austin Township.

MOTION

Mr. Smith moved, seconded by Mr. Williams to approve Resolution H-1754-1-11 by prior roll call vote.

There were no questions or comments from the board floor.

MOTION CARRIED.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

19. Mr. Dunn presented Ordinance O-89-1-11 which is imposing a retailers' occupation tax and a service occupation tax at a rate of 1% in the County of Macon, Illinois to be used exclusively for school facility purposes.

MOTION

Mr. Dunn moved, seconded by Mrs. Wilkins to approve Ordinance O-89-1-11.

MOTION TO AMEND

Mrs. Little moved, seconded by Mr. Drobisch to amend Ordinance O-89-1-11 by adding subsection D to Section 2, to read: Unless earlier discontinued by the County Board by separate ordinance or otherwise by law, the County School Facility Occupation Taxes imposed by this ordinance are hereby discontinued by this ordinance effective June 30, 2041 pursuant to Section 5-1006.7(g) of the Counties Code of the State of Illinois.

QUESTION:

Mrs. Wilkins: Mrs. Wilkins asked if this is a legal amendment, something that we can actually vote on.

Mr. Ahola: Mr. Ahola said in our opinion it is not a legal amendment. That would have to be done at a later meeting with notice. You only have the right to deny this tax, and if you do impose it you have the right to impose it at different conditions. If you do impose it then you can only reduce it or eliminate it in the future.

Mrs. Little: Mrs. Little said her comment to Mr. Ahola's comment was that the response she got from the State's Attorney's office was "we feel" that the ordinance imposing the tax.... Not to be mincing words, but she didn't get anything that could actually quote her something that said, this is not your ordinance to impose, you can't do anything to it. Mrs. Little said her belief is that this is a county ordinance that we are imposing and we can word that ordinance any way we want it. If we want free trips to Disney World in it, we can put those in until it is established.

Mr. Waks: Mr. Waks said the section we have been talking about Section 5-1006.7 is the School Facility Occupation Taxes provision in subsection G of that statute it starts out, "if a County Board imposes a tax under this section then the board may by ordinance

discontinue or reduce the rate of the tax." So, with that language it implies that if you impose the tax then you have the right to discontinue or reduce it. It doesn't say you can do it at the same time. You have to impose it first, and you have to impose it by an ordinance. Then subsequently, and it doesn't say how much time has to elapse, by another ordinance you can either discontinue or reduce the rate of the tax. It goes on to say that once you reduce it that is all you can do; you can't bump it back up again without another vote by the public. Once you discontinue it, you can't reinstate it again without another vote by the public. When he says "we feel" it is our legal opinion that you cannot impose a sunset provision in the same ordinance that you are imposing or enacting the tax.

Mrs. Little: Mrs. Little said with all due respect to Mr. Waks, she read the same statute and the same sentence and she agrees with what he says the sentence does say. However, she did not find anywhere in the statute where it says we can only adopt this ordinance as worded in the statute. She does not believe that excludes the ability of the county to impose a sunset clause in the original ordinance. She said even if he does turn out to be right, which he is the lawyer and went to college a whole lot longer than she did and probably will, if it is challenged in court, at that point that would be the only part of the ordinance that would be stricken. It would not affect anything to do with any of the school districts going out for bonding issues.

Mr. Waks: Mr. Waks said he would address that in a couple of phases. Initially, can we do it if it is not prohibited? If we are home rule we can. If we are a home rule entity we can enact any law we want as long as there is no state statute that says you can't do it. But, we are not home rule. If you're not a home rule entity, like us, then you only have those authorities that are granted to you by statute. If you don't expressly have the power granted to you by statute then the power doesn't exist. We are not home rule. Again, legal minds can differ but that is his opinion and that is the opinion of the State's Attorney's Office that we don't have the right. The second issue she brought up regarding can we enact it anyway? Well, you are a legislative body and you can enact whatever you want to enact. If it happens to be illegal and found by a court later on to be unconstitutional, what is the affect on that statute? We can all sit and make guesses. One of the suggestions Mrs. Little made was that it would be that provision and that provision alone that would be thrown out and the rest of the ordinance would still remain intact. If he had to make a guess he would have to say that is probably right.

Mrs. Little: Mrs. Little said that is what is stated in the ordinance.

Mr. Waks: Mr. Waks said of course the ordinance doesn't necessarily control what an appellate court will do because we don't tell them what to do. They pretty much tell us what to do. But, that would still be his opinion that, that is probably what would happen. What he doesn't know is what effect this would have on the bond issue, on any school district that wants to float some bonds later on down the road. Is this going to negatively impact that? It is something we have talked about and he just doesn't have the answer to that because that is not our area.

Mr. Dunn: Mr. Dunn said it looks like Randy's got one opinion and Linda's got another. He is going to ask Linda if she wants to change hers but he won't Randy. His wife has always told him that a woman has a right to change her mind.

Mrs. Little: Mrs. Little said, but an Irish woman never does.

Mr. Dunn: Mr. Dunn said he is learning more every day.

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Ashby, Cox, Drobisch, Greenfield, Hogan, Little, Westerman, Williams, Zimmerman

Nays: Baxter, Dudley, Dunn, Jacobs, McGlaughlin, Meachum, Oliver, Potts, Smith, Taylor, Wicklund, Wilkins

AYES = 9NAYS = 12

EXPLANATION OF VOTE:

Mr. Ashby: Mr. Ashby said he is for the sales tax; he has seen the need. He thinks we really need to remodel these facilities but he is not for a forever tax. Whether we sunset this tax tonight or somewhere in the future, he believes there should be a sunset on it, so having said that, he is voting aye for Linda's amendment.

Mr. Oliver: Mr. Oliver said he was one of the people in the meeting that raised a question about sunset. He is still interested in the idea that one day this will sunset. But, the people voted to have this tax and he wants to see it done after seeing some of the problems the schools do have as far as their buildings and institutions are concerned. He is going to vote no, but if there is something to be done in the future toward sun setting this after these districts have had a chance to utilize the proposition they voted on, that would be another matter. His vote for the amendment is no.

MOTION FAILED. (AMENDMENT TO O-89-1-11)

ROLL CALL.

Ayes: Ashby, Baxter, Cox, Dudley, Dunn, Greenfield, Hogan, Jacobs, Little, McGlaughlin, Meachum, Oliver, Potts, Smith, Taylor, Westerman, Wicklund, Wilkins, Williams, Zimmerman

Nays: Drobisch

 $\mathbf{AYES} = 20 \\
\mathbf{NAYS} = 1$

EXPLANATION OF VOTE:

Mr. Drobisch: Mr. Drobisch said in his district this proposition actually failed, and because the majority he felt voiced their opinion in his district that he represents he is voting no.

Mr. Hogan: Mr. Hogan said he voted against the tax. He campaigned against the tax, but as a Macon County Board member we should be the voice of the people and the people did say yes. He voted aye.

Mr. Smith: Mr. Smith said he thinks this issue has probably been one of the most thought provoking and probably the most important issue he has dealt with during his tenure on this board. There is a lot at stake here as we all know. As he hopes that every board member here tonight has done, he visited the schools and talked to administrators. He visited the schools in his board district and those outside his board district. He saw their needs and he saw their problems, and there are a lot of problems. He visited his old high school that he graduated from 28 years ago and it was like stepping back in time and nothing had really changed. There was a little rust, but nothing had changed. He simply could not believe that with the advancements that we have had with technology in almost 30 years that we have cables and extension cords running across floors to run computer systems for our students. He couldn't believe that when he put his hand up near a window he could feel a cold draft coming through. In touring the Argenta-Oreana schools, the grade school in Oreana, he saw their window issues as well as their asbestos issues. He could probably go on and on and we have all heard all of these issues from the various school districts in the county but the issue here is about our future for this community and the future of our students. Companies and employers are looking for good schools when deciding to locate in an area or to hire people and bring them into a community. He thinks it is important that we insure we provide the best facilities we can to attract these businesses and jobs. It is very vital. He is voting yes on the issue and he trusts that the school districts and administrators will follow through on their promises to the public that they have made to abate property taxes where possible or to have no increase. It is also his hope that our leaders in Springfield will go to bat on funding education properly in this state instead of punting the ball to us.

Mr. Westerman: Mr. Westerman said with much reservation he voted aye.

MOTION CARRIED. (MAIN MOTION ON 0-89-1-11)

The Siting, Rules & Ordinance Sub-Committee had nothing to submit at the meeting.

The Building Sub-Committee had nothing to submit at the meeting.

QUESTION:

Mr. Smith: Mr. Smith asked what is happening with the space on the fourth floor where Representative Hare's office was.

Mr. Dunn: Mr. Dunn said the Congressman Schilling has asked if we would give it to him for free rent. Mr. Dunn told him he would poll the Finance Committee which he did. Out of the 7 Finance Committee members, they all said no. Mr. Caulkins called him a couple of days ago, again wanting free rent and Mr. Dunn offered to give him Ryan McCrady's phone number, the Civic Center phone number and the Senior Center. Mr. Dunn asked him to come over and take pictures of the room and measure it and notify Mr. Schilling of what is there. Mr. Dunn felt \$500.00 a month was very fair rent for that size of room. It is actually 3 rooms divided up. He has not heard back from anyone, but we are looking for someone to rent it to.

CITIZEN REMARKS:

Dean Padgett: Mr. Padgett lives at 3245 N. Susan Drive, Decatur, Illinois. Until the last few meetings he has been a regular here. On February 14th, next month he will be starting his 6th year of protest concerning Friends Creek Campground. Please understand and be assured that he still has a vivid picture in his mind of a payday someday. It could possibly even be tonight. He asked if each of them heard him or asked if they were like the conservation staff two deaf ears and possibly even blind. As he speaks, they are only 9 sites short of turning around a total blunder and making a proud asset for Argenta as well as most all taxpayers in Macon County. Mr. Padgett said all of them know how to get rid of him. Help him create a payday. He has a few phone numbers and e-mail in case any of them want to babble a little bit. He gave each of them 5 pages to observe concerning the campground. On the very first page you can see his note on 6-30-08 to the Executive Director concerning the 2008-2009 proposed budget. His note reads, "I would like more detail on what is above underlined in yellow. What, how and where will this \$143,100.00 be spent?" It was signed by him. He was overwhelmed and surprised with her reply. He quoted, "That money is to finish the electricity at the campground if it's enough." If the board members will remember he has already shared this with them at a previous meeting. Now, he wanted them to witness this factually first hand. He also informed them that it nearly caused him to have a heart attack. The rhythm of his heart was creating the vibe of payday, payday, payday. On the second page outlined in red is the bid cost to do the 10 sites for 2011 giving us a total of 27 out of a possible 36. The bid was \$46,210.00 for 10 sites. If he has the math skills to balance a budget, it seems that there is \$96,890.00 floating in air on a magical carpet somewhere to finish what her voice stated. He thinks to have already finished this project would have saved we taxpayers money. You be the judge. He was asking any of them with thoughts, concerns, or comments to enlighten him as to why feet are dragging and there seems to be no sign of any intended movement by staff for this one of a kind treasure in all of Macon County. As they move through the rest of the handout he provided, to him The staff really doesn't want a payday by everything in green is propaganda. recommending to the conservation board, and they did have one member with them tonight that was with them all the way, Ed Leonard, thank you. In the information underlined in green the board maintains a number of non-electric sites be retained. For the record, if most, which is underlined in green, tent campers, want non-electric sites he would gladly purchase and donate 36 locks so the camps hosts make sure tent campers get what they want. This could probably get us a payday. During his teaching days this

kind of math was referred to as doorknob math. He thinks some of the smarter students coined this name because the lower level text book cover did have a picture of a door and a knob. Again, moving on to the last page there is more propaganda. He personally sees a deliberate attempt by staff to show the campground is losing money year by year using a bar graph for revenues and expenses. He was sorry that again he had to use doorknob math to make a point. For the years 2004, 2005, 2006 he does not see any mention of the fact that the campground had 14 so called extended stay campers; a better word would be permanent. Each of them paid an extra \$1,000 per season for a total of \$14,000 more or less annually. Please listen, because here it is; the real payday. It was the staff if you remember who destroyed permanent campers. Mr. Padgett said he was one of them. They followed that by removing the electricity. He asked if this could actually be like a dog chasing his own t, a, i, l or t, a, l, e. Take it however you want it. Mr. Padgett is still wondering if anyone in this room can enlighten him the least bit so he might better fully understand such childlike nonsense. He asked everyone to turn to the last page and use their pen or pencil to finish the lesson on doorknob math with a nourishing, heartfelt PayDay. He held up a PayDay candy bar. All you need to do to get your reward is add \$14,000 on each year of 2008, 2009, and 2010. This could be yearly revenue. From the get go he stated the campground would be the only project in all of the Conservation District's jurisdiction that could and would pay its own way, thus giving him time to fully enjoy a retired PayDay. If any of you are still having confusion like the conservation staff is, and still have a profound desire for an honest payday just add \$14,000 to each of the purple lines and your doorknob math will balance the budget and create a totally new bar graph, the bar as in PayDay candy bar. He thanked all them for the time and allowing him this privilege.

Dave Weber: Mr. Weber lives at 445 Bay Shore Drive in Decatur. We started this process about 2 years ago. It was quite a process and a lot of people need to be thanked. First he wanted to thank Gloria Davis and District 61, and then the Regional Office of Education, and the other 8 school districts within Macon County. He thanked the literally hundreds and hundreds of volunteers that worked to make this pass. He thanked the voters of Macon County that passed the referendum. He thanked the board members for their vote in enacting the tax. The citizens of Macon County are going to be the recipients of this vote tonight, but our children are going to especially benefit for years and years to come. He thanked them.

There were no officeholder remarks presented at the meeting.

There was no old business presented at the meeting.

There was no new business presented at the meeting.

MOTION TO ADJOURN

Mr. Potts moved, seconded by Mr. Smith to adjourn until Thursday, February 10, 2011 at 7:15 p.m.

MOTION CARRIED.

Meeting adjourned at 8:02 p.m.