

**BUILDING SUB-COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**APRIL 4, 2012**  
**5:15 P.M.**

**MEMBERS PRESENT**

Dave Drobisch, Chair  
Tim Dudley  
Jay Dunn  
Mark Wicklund

**MEMBERS ABSENT**

Jon Baxter  
Patty Cox  
David Williams

**COUNTY PERSONNEL PRESENT**

Jerry Lord, Public Building Commission  
Rick Bright, PBC & ETSB Chair  
Scott Flannery, Sheriff's Office  
Josh Tanner, GIS  
Linda Little, County Board  
Linda Koger, County Board Office

**CALL TO ORDER**

Meeting was called to order by Chair Drobisch at the Macon County Office Building.

**MINUTES**

Motion to approve minutes of prior meeting made by Jay Dunn, seconded by Mark Wicklund, and motion carried 4-0.

**NEW BUSINESS**

*Construction Cost Comparisons of Various Properties*

Jay Dunn asked to discuss the properties looked at overall to see if we can eliminate some of them. It has been a while, but we looked at one on Pershing, the library annex, one on Cerro Gordo & Van Dyke, and he asked if anybody has any interest in them; he personally does not, right now we are looking at three tonight, but if any of those are still on the table he would like to know if someone is wanting to keep them there. Chair Drobisch likes the VanDyke but the problem is the age of the building, and the cost of repair and renovation and upkeep would be high. If you want any comments from our engineer, Jay Dunn said he can give it but the problem with that building is you have an older part which looked like it needs a lot of maintenance, and then you have the newer part which is not suitable to document storage because it has a tin cover on it. Chair Drobisch thinks the three properties on the handout tonight are the ones that seem to be most logical. If we can eliminate the others when they keep calling him, Jay Dunn said he can let them know we are not interested in them.

Mark Wicklund feels the same way on the Pershing, VanDyke, and that is just the cost and location of those; he is fine with the three on the handout tonight, a little hesitant on the Franklin one, but we can bring that up in our discussion. Tim Dudley said he has been for looking into the proposed building at the Animal Shelter from day one, and he is still on that same page; he sees a lot of renovation in these others, if we build out at the Animal Shelter and get it exactly the way we want, it can be used for something else down the road, it will be on our property and we'll own it, and that has been his feeling from day one. If we are going to have record storage, Chair Drobisch commented if it will be active record storage you are going to be far removed, you will have employees going out to pull records, so if it is closer in it seems to be more convenient, and that is a direct influence as far as his consideration.

Mark Wicklund agrees on the record storage, for possible Church Street he likes the design for it, we could cut back on the building size down to 15,000 as long as it is structured so we could go up a floor later on if needed, with a possible addition at Animal Control with 5000 storage, what's out there right now is run down and modified storage is needed, and his thought is more towards it and Church Street; Church Street is not on top of the LEC, but it would be a location for EOC and storage and emergency location for county building making it more feasible. If city police want to expand and stay where they are at, a closer facility particularly in EMA, Chair Drobisch said if we move them in to a part of that building, they would be closer and more convenient to EMA.

Jay Dunn asked if you want to go into closed session to talk more about it or leave it in open, but we have got several options here; it depends on what the county wants to do, we have got document storage involved, but the question is do we want to make room for EOC expansion and if we do, do we want it close to the LEC, and maybe there are advantages to keeping it a little bit away in case of a crisis at the LEC and parking as far as our customers at the county building; property at the Animal Shelter is not conducive to putting the EOC there because of the railroads on the north and south sides involving an emergency with those tracks possibly being blocked with trains.

Chair Drobisch mentioned recycling talked about previously. Jay Dunn said originally we looked at electronics recycling being part of the mix including paint recycling which we didn't want to put together with document storage because of possible hazards there, we have a company called ATR that set a lease at Carquest, they are going to open an electronics recycling center, apparently BLH is still in business, so that is out of the picture right now as far as electronics since outside vendor will take care of it which is great for us, and paint recycling is going to stay at the incubator until some time in the future. Chair Drobisch agreed an industrial zoned area is needed to do that.

Jay Dunn commented we are down to document storage, do we want a place which would include parking for the county, and do we want to look at also having a place to potentially put the EOC to free up space there to move the sheriff's offices more south giving more space to the police department; if the document storage area is big enough, could we include evidence storage also, he is not sure at this time, but is a firm believer in trying to free up space there if we can keep the police department.

Chair Drobisch asked Larry Livergood for input on the handout tonight, and if committee had questions for him. Jay Dunn wants to discuss the handout whether in closed or open session. Chair Drobisch entertained a motion to go into closed session; motion made by Mark Wicklund to go into closed session, seconded by Jay Dunn, and motion carried 4-0.

### **CLOSED SESSION**

Motion to return to open session made by Tim Dudley, seconded by Jay Dunn, and motion carried 4-0.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Motion to adjourn made by Jay Dunn, seconded by Tim Dudley, motion carried 4-0, and meeting adjourned at 6:13 p.m.

Minutes submitted by Linda Koger  
Macon County Board Office